court costs. We've added to those costs. 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know how that 2 I've been through that. But this is 3 not -- this should not be that kind of a case, 4 if you have a frame of mind, and your client 5 does, that you're going to cooperate. 6 you're talking turkey this morning. It's the 7 first time I've heard it. Now let's see where 8 9 this can go. I would suggest a letter request. 10 Something, some document that Mr. Keller can 11 take back to his client and confer and say, 12 look, I mean, get the -- if he's got an 13 attitude that the client says that I'm not 14 15 giving anything under any circumstances, then 16 we're back to zero again. But if you think that your client 17 has a frame of mind that he will cooperate to a 18 degree that will satisfy this preliminary 19 information, then let's do it. 20 MR. KELLER: Give me one --21 MR. ZDEBSKI: Your Honor, may I ask 22

1	
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, yes, sir.
3	MR. ZDEBSKI: I'm sorry.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: No problem.
5	MR. ZDEBSKI: May I ask something on
6	behalf of one of the applicants?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Pardon?
8	MR. ZDEBSKI: May I speak on behalf
9	of one of the applicants?
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I was going to
11	get to that. I'd yes, sir, please do,
12	please do.
13	MR. ZDEBSKI: If now's appropriate.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: It's just as good a
15	time as any.
16	MR. ZDEBSKI: Charlie Zdebski on
17	behalf of Duquesne Light Company. We're the
18	investor on utility that provides power to most
19	of the metropolitan Pittsburgh area, and it
20	strikes me that, while the parties over here
21	dispute discovery and talk about the procedural
22	issues, the spectrum that is very valuable to

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

us for managing critical utility needs is at 1 2 issue. We are building a smart grid system 3 around this spectrum, and when we want it to go 4 forward. We don't have a particular issue with 5 the fight over discovery. I mean, we have no 6 issue with a copy of the contract being 7 provided, pursuant to the protective order, to 8 the Enforcement Bureau. We'd also suggest that 9 if somebody needs documents from the bank, 10 there are subpoenas the FCC could issue, and 11 12 they could issue from the bankruptcy court. JUDGE SIPPEL: I was going to 13 14 suggest that. There are ways to get 15 MR. ZDEBSKI: these things done, but what strikes me, is that 16 while we do these things, the question of 17 whether the applicants, whether Duquesne Light 18 gets its spectrum it needs for managing its 19 grid. 20 These are used to control the 21

capacitors and to turn off pieces of the grid

to find out when there's a problem on the grid, to segregate that problem. That remains at issue.

You asked Mr. Geno what's the benefit of the motion to accept or reject being filed. The benefit is, we know, then, that the debtor in possession is going to accept the contract that we have, pursuant to the bankruptcy court's order.

This is the first we heard that that was going to get filed at the end of this week or the end of next week, and that's very good news for us. We would like that to move forward, because, then, I think that MCLM can a Second Thursday showing with respect to these particular transactions involving each of the applicants.

I understand that the Bureau, and that SkyTel may have an issue that, ultimately, there are more assets in the bankrupt's estate than there are the needs of innocent creditors. But, frankly, that's not our issue. There's

been no suggestion, and what strikes me when I hear Ms. Kane talking, is we were essentially witnesses here.

JUDGE SIPPEL: This --

MR. ZDEBSKI: We may have documents. We may have some information. There have been no suggestions that any of the parties at the table are anything but innocent creditors. And that's what we are.

So what we would like to see is a Second Thursday showing respect to the transactions that we have at issue here. If those licenses are not -- if the transaction is not consummated, I think that the proof of claim date is November 17th, we end up having to file a proof of claim, we become a creditor, subject to whether, ultimately, the transaction is accepted.

But I don't know what the valuation put on by each of the other parties around the table is, but, ultimately, our client is going to increase the amount of debt that is owed by

1 the bankrupt's estate, if the life of the 2 transaction is not consummated. So, you know, we support finding a 3 4 creative way to move forward. We would like to 5 focus on the transactions that involve us. I'd also suggest, Your Honor, that 6 7 for the efficiency of this Commission, that, you know, we don't need to be here. One way to 8 9 get rid of 14 people in this room is to have us be able to take that Second Thursday showing 10 with respect to these particular transactions 11 12 that we have. And to the extent that there is 13 14 additional assets in the estate that SkyTel and MCLM and the Bureau want to fight over, they 15 can fight over them. But frankly, that's not 16 our issue. 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I hear you. 18 19 I'll tell you one of the problems, though -and I might not be able to respond to you 20 except to say that I hear you -- is because the 21

lead party has been digging their heels in with

making any kind of a discovery where I can have, at least, a preliminary showing that I can even initially determine that what you're saying makes sense.

I don't know anything about what the valuation is. I don't know anything what these properties are worth. And the Bureau has no idea. And we can't do anything about this, until we get more information.

I mean, when I say we, I don't mean the royal we, I mean myself and the Bureau and Mr. McFadden. I don't want to speak for anybody here, but, you know, we're all without information.

Now, I could ask you to do this. I could suggest that you do this. Why don't you go and talk to the -- I've got to think in terms of what -- but go and talk to the Bureau, somebody in the Bureau, or, who would be the Bureau that would have jurisdiction over the -- it would be the Wireless Bureau that's going to rule on the Second Thursday?

MS. KANE: Correct.

JUDGE SIPPEL: You know, go up and talk to somebody high in that office, and say, look, we've got this problem. Can you get somebody in a senior staff position to support you on that?

I can't. I can't. There's nothing
I can do, because I've never seen a Second
Thursday case cited any place. I know them
pretty well, but not -- that could make that
kind of a bifurcation out of a case like this,
at this point, with us not -- particularly with
us not knowing who you are, what you did, what
you're going to do.

I take everything that you told me at face value, and believe me, I spent time in Pittsburgh, I love the Pittsburgh teams, but I wouldn't have it any other way. I like them better than the Washington football team. Be that as it may, there's nothing I can -- my hands are tied.

MR. KELLER: Could I --

NEAL R. GROSS

JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. I'm sorry. 1 MR. KELLER: May I make a suggestion 2 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: I know, I've been 4 hitting on you --5 MR. KELLER: I just want to make a -6 - no, no. No problem, Your Honor. I just want 7 to make a quick suggestion. 8 9 You started off by saying something about Ms. Kane sending me a letter, but I would 10 like to turn that around. What I'd say we'll 11 commit to doing, and I'll have to think about 12 the exact timing, but within fairly short 13 order, we will get with the applicant parties, 14 I'll get with Mr. Geno, we'll look-- meet with 15 16 the client. We are going to -- we will volunteer 17 some information, both on the contracts, you 18 19 know, the copies of the contracts, as well as an identification of the list of the creditors, 20 and then, at that point, she can evaluate that 21

and decide whether there's anything more.

22

I

1	can say we can do that in fairly a matter of
2	days, you know, we'll set a time.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll give you ten
4	days.
5	MR. KELLER: We probably don't need
6	that long, but that's fine.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
8	MR. KELLER: The other thing
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll give you eight
10	days.
11	(Laughter.)
12	MR. KELLER: So the other thing I
13	was going to suggest how about 12? So the
14	other thing I was going to suggest, and this is
15	where the time is more critical, is that then
16	we would then come in and make this sort of
17	comprehensive status report you were talking
18	about, that would lay out the entire plan, a
19	sort of big picture of the whole Second
20	Thursday.
21	I mean, it would seem to me that the
22	timing that makes sense for that is, at the

earliest, after this November 21st hearing at the bankruptcy court, or at least after such time as Mr. Geno knows whether we're going to be able to include these other parties in this hearing.

So I would suggest some time in, I

So I would suggest some time in, I don't know, December, like that, we would come in with that showing, and then, at that point, everybody will have a much better picture of where we stand. We'll be talking about real proposals on paper, rather than speculative ideas.

JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now the general tenor of that I like. But the details are in the devil or the devil is in the detail. I don't know, one way or the other. Let's see what happens. Do you have any objections to that procedure?

MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, I think we'd be curious to know exactly what would be in this status report. Is this going to be the comprehensive Second Thursday analysis, with

all possible contracts, in addition to those which are already on the table with the applicants?

I guess what we're hearing from Mr.

Keller, it sounds like he's contemplating there
being additional sales and contracts within a
short amount of a time period, and that would
provide additional value, and certainly
additional information to Your Honor, but I'm
unclear as to what this second status report
would contain.

MR. KELLER: It would contain as much as we're able to contain at that time.

The idea being that, part of the problem with coming up with comprehensive thing right now, is we needed to first get nailed down what's going to happen with these existing contracts.

Whether the parties want to go
through with them, whether we will adopt them,
but once we get that part -- so it's going to
be a, really, a question of where we -- it will
certainly address that. It will certainly

address that. Whether we have specific deals done, at that point, be able to lay out there, I can't say for sure. But it certainly --

MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, we would have an issue with that. Because that's where we are today. I mean, if we have the contracts in hand, and simply assumed that those contracts would be assumed by the bankruptcy court and will be part of the estate, and I understand that's an assumption, but taking that assumption aside, what more is Mr. Keller going to provide a month from now, than he has now?

It doesn't sound like he has any additional prospective buyers lined up. But if that's not accurate, then he can provide additional information, but it doesn't seem like we should delay providing this information, when a month from now, he has no guarantee he's going to provide anything further than what he has today.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what

information do you think he's not going to 1 2 provide you? I'm going to set the date down as 3 Friday, the 4th of November, at mid-day, two. I don't want these 5 o'clock meetings on the 4 5 weekend. That, to me, now, this is just 6 between you, the Bureau and Mr. McFadden --MR. KELLER: Okay. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: If anybody from the 8 applicant parties wants to get involved in 9 that, you're welcome to, but I'm trying to make 10 it as clean as I can, from your standpoint. 11 I'm trying to make it as comprehensive as I can 12 13 from your standpoint and Mr. McFadden's standpoint. 14 Well, I, Your Honor --15 MS. KANE: JUDGE SIPPEL: What can you 16 possibly, if he goes forward with that kind of 17 a proffer, which is -- okay, I'm not going to 18 have time to paraphrase it -- what do you 19 consider inadequate about it? For these 20 purposes right now? 21

MS. KANE: For the immediate

production that he was going to provide us 1 2 with, in terms of the contracts and any 3 valuations he has of the licenses, that's not where we would have an issue. 4 The concern that I have is his 5 6 second proposal to provide this comprehensive Second Thursday analysis sometime in December. 7 And what I'm suggesting is that he hasn't 8 9 provided us with any basis for why that report will be any more comprehensive than what we get 10 on November 4th. 11 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --13 MR. KELLER: The reason being, because we -- first of all, we are talking to 14 parties, other creditors about this possible, 15 16 more global approach that would pick up the 17 other licenses. We can't know for sure where we stand on that, 18 because first of all, no deals have been worked 19 20 out yet. Come November 21st, after we've done 21 22 this hearing on the existing contracts, we will

1	be further down that road, we will be in a
2	position, if we don't actually have some deals
3	in the works, to know where we're going, and
4	we'll be able to lay forward the roadmap of
5	exactly where we're going.
6	And then, at that point, obviously,
7	you can take pot shots at it if you want, but
8	we will address all of these issues, many of
9	the issues that have come up here too.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, what
11	MR. KELLER: I'll even go so far as
12	to address why we believe it complies for
13	Second Thursday, and why it would provide a
14	basis for you to make that ruling.
15	MS. KANE: Well, Your Honor, we're
16	still hearing possible and probable and
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well
18	MS. KANE: and nothing specific,
19	and, you know, one of the issues that Your
20	Honor put on the agenda for today is, what
21	specific steps are they taking to obtain
22	additional purchasers?

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	And, frankly, the bankruptcy court
2	has a deadline of the end of January 2012 for
3	them to provide their entire plan to the
4	bankruptcy court. So at the very least, they
5	should have had this information in the works,
6	because they only have two-and-a-half months
7	left, or three months, to get that information.
8	So I think we should be far away
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that true, Mr.
10	Geno?
11	MR. GENO: Yes, Your Honor, it is.
12	The deadlines are true.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: The deadlines are
14	true. Do they give extensions in bankruptcy
15	courts?
16	MR. GENO: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do they give
18	extensions?
19	MR. GENO: Yes, but we probably
20	aren't going to ask for an extension.
21	If we get the existing contracts
22	approved by the bankruptcy court in late

1	November, we probably will have a plan mid-
2	December to late December about how to dispose
3	of all the other assets, and that'll be in the
4	plan that will be filed at the end of January.
5	I doubt we'll ask for an extension.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then let me get back
7	to those contracts. Is there any reason, aside
8	from checking with the proper people and all,
9	any reason for not providing those contracts on
10	the 4th of November?
11	MR. GENO: Not at all.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Subject to the
13	protective order.
14	MR. GENO: No, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Does that help?
16	MS. KANE: That would be helpful,
17	Your Honor. We would also like any other
18	documents they have that are going towards the
19	valuation of the licenses. Any other potential
20	contracts they have in the works. We shouldn't
21	have to wait until the end of January to obtain
22	that information.

1	MR. KELLER: That's
2	MS. KANE: And, frankly, Your Honor,
3	we would suggest that, maybe to make all of
4	this easier, some of this information is
5	coming in very sporadically in the bankruptcy
6	court. But perhaps Your Honor could order them
7	to file everything they file in the bankruptcy
8	court in this proceeding, so we would have
9	access to that for all of the parties
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I would think -
11	-
12	MS. KANE: here in this case.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: I was going to get to
14	that, you anticipated me a little bit, but good
15	call, good shot.
16	MR. GENO: Be glad to, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
18	MR. KELLER: I would take issue with
19	the last thing about, again, accuse me of
20	digging in my heels again, but when we get to
21	the question of actual contracts, yes. When we
22	get to the question of contracts that are being

negotiated, valuations and all this, again, I don't see that -- what is relevant is not what the value may be. What is relevant is what the contracts are actually going to bring in.

So when we have actual contracts, that gives you a number. If you've got valuations, that's just what some appraiser, for whatever purposes he had, says the value is.

You can't base a Second Thursday showing on that either. It's just a valuation, you know. It doesn't --

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, this is again, but this is not -- what we're asking for information that is highly relevant to Second Thursday, but this is not a Second Thursday determination that's being made.

The Bureau is trying to figure out
what it is that she's dealing with. Now,
you've got to start someplace. If you have
estimates or valuations in your possession, and
I ticked them off, one for \$7 million, one for

NEAL R. GROSS

1	\$13 million and one for \$48 million, why can't
2	you give those to the Bureau and to Mr.
3	McFadden?
4	MS. GOING: There's in the
5	bankruptcy petition of Maritime, there are 16
6	contracts and spectrum leases listed. So they
7	have 16 contracts for purchases of spectrum.
8	They're listed
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Including the ones in
10	this room?
11	MS. GOING: in their bankruptcy
12	petition.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: Including the ones in
14	this room?
15	MS. GOING: Including the ones that
16	are in this room. But all told, they list 16
17	contracts for the purchase of spectrum, and so
18	there are 16 contracts in Maritime's possession
19	that no one in this room has seen.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, those are the
21	ones that are going to come in on the 4th of
22	November.

1	MR. GENO: 21 st oh, 4th, yes,
2	that's right
3	MR. KELLER: Yes.
4	MR. GENO: your Honor. That's
5	correct.
6	MR. KELLER: Yes.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
8	MR. KELLER: And I'm not disputing
9	that. I'm disputing when we get into
10	speculations about
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I want to see
12	if I'm wait a minute. I don't want to let
13	this go. Is that okay, Mr. McFadden?
14	MR. MCFADDEN: Well, Your Honor, I
15	think the short answer is, yes, that's fine,
16	but we've been spending a lot of time on the
17	question of valuation, which is just an initial
18	step in determining whether or not Maritime is,
19	essentially, is entitled to a stay of the
20	hearing.
21	I mean, there are other issues that
22	we think exist outside of that that might merit

1	proceeding with the hearing, beyond the
2	question of whether the value of the assets
3	exceeds the value of innocent creditor debt.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's true. But I
5	mean, you feel that I'm we're taking this
6	very piecemeal. The representation that is
7	being made to me is that by the end of
8	November, basically, they'll have the whole
9	picture before the bankruptcy court.
10	And Mr. Keller has represented that
11	it will be sufficient information even to make
12	a determination under Second Thursday.
13	Correct? I think you said that.
14	MR. KELLER: Well, certainly, for
15	you to make a determination whether to stay the
16	hearing it should be sufficient.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, okay, which is
18	very close to the Second Thursday
19	MR. KELLER: Right. The actual
20	comprehensive Second Thursday showing will come
21	in with the assignment applications themselves.
22	TUDGE SIPPEL. Well and that goes

1	upstairs
2	MR. KELLER: Right.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: to the Wireless
4	Bureau. Now, I'm saying, I'm pressing him to
5	give you valuations, right, at this time in
6	November 4th, that will not be the final
7	product. And you think that it's not
8	worthwhile to get them?
9	MR. MCFADDEN: No, Your Honor, we'd
LO	be delighted to receive them.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all I want to
12	hear.
13	MR. KELLER: What valuations do we
L4	have now?
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you hear me set
16	the I identified exactly what they are.
17	MR. KELLER: Well, the \$7 million is
18	just a contract that they do have, I mean
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Fine, but it came up
20	as a number.
21	MR. KELLER: The \$42 million is an
22	evaluation that we don't have, we just have the

1	results.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: The \$42 million?
3	You've got the bottom line number of \$42
4	million?
5	MR. KELLER: But we don't have the
6	actual
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Is that from Chemical
8	Bank?
9	MR. GENO: Pinnacle.
10	MR. KELLER: Pinnacle, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Pinnacle?
12	MR. KELLER: Yes.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: It isn't even
14	Chemical. Pinnacle Bank. Well. Pinnacle
15	Bank. And is that in Mississippi?
16	MR. GENO: It's in the
17	headquarters is in Nashville, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Nashville, Tennessee.
19	MR. GENO: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: See, I know geography
21	pretty well. Nashville, Tennessee. That's the
22	main headquarters. Now, they came up with the